

Utah Department of Transportation Contractor Performance Rating Form

Form C-119 (02/04)

<http://eprpw.dot.utah.gov/rate/contractor-rating.asp>

Prepare the rating and submit a signed hardcopy to Central Construction at the completion of a project and at the beginning of the calendar year for those projects that require two or more construction seasons.

Intermediate ratings prepared throughout the length of the project are not submitted to the Central Construction. However their use is encouraged as a partnering tool to evaluate the contractor's performance to date and eliminate any "surprises" when the final rating is prepared. It is recommended that the frequency of intermediate ratings should be established at the pre-construction conference.

- Answer the 38 questions based on a scale of 0-10, (Non-performance = 0; Performance 100% of the time = 10) (Question 6 is 0-100). Decimals can be used if desired.
- Use Tab Key to move through rating questions. Do not hit Enter as this will calculate form.
- N/A option can be used for those questions where the contractor was not required to perform a particular function, as in questions 13 & 14, the submittal or the correction of payrolls.
- Questions 2, 3, 4, & 5 require responses based on specific material quality issues.
- An area for comments is provided at the end of each section.
- Ratings of less than 70% require explanations.

After Filling Out Entire Form Click "Calculate Performance Rating" Below and Print the Generated Page for Signatures.

Please fill out the following form Completely (all fields required):

Date:

Type of Rating:

Project Number:

Project Name:

Contractor:

Resident Engineer:

Quality Control/Workmanship (10% Weighting)

1. Protected completed work from damage during continued construction.

2. Material dispute analysis submitted proved to have issues with contractor/consultant lab personnel or equipment.

(Number submitted: 0 = 10; 1-2 = 7; 3-4 = 4; 5 or more = 0)

3. Rejected materials dispute analysis outright.

(Number submitted: 0 = 10; 1-2 = 7; 3-4 = 4; 5 or more = 0)

4. Produced surfacing or base material that was required to be removed and replaced. (Number of lots removed and replaced: 0 = 10; 1-2 = 7; 3-4 = 4; 5 or more = 0)

5. Overall percent within limits of paving.

(Percent within limits: 100 = 10; 95-99 = 9; 91-94 = 8; 85-90 = 7; 81-84 = 5; 75-80 = 4; 71-74 = 2; 61-70 = 1; 60 or less = 0)

Quality Control/Workmanship Comments:

Safety (15% Weighting)

6. Safety Rating based on Average Region Safety and Loss Coordinator's audits on Project (0-100)
(Enter Average of all safety audits to date in box)

Safety Comments:

Work Zone Traffic Control (15% Weighting)

7. Contractor and subcontractors followed the traffic control plan.

8. Traffic Control Maintainer made the minimum day and night inspections.

9. Contractor removed traffic control when no work was in progress.

10. Contractor did not allow parked equipment or private vehicles to create a hazard to the traveling public.

11. Contractor maintained compliance with UDOT standards in all areas of the traffic control operations.

12. Traffic Control Maintainer available when required.

Work Zone Traffic Control Comments:

EEO/Labor Compliance (5% Weighting)

13. Contractor submitted payrolls when required.

14. Promptly complied when payroll corrections were requested.

15. Took corrective action when notified of problems found on Labor/EEO interviews.

16. Subcontractors in full compliance were paid promptly.

17. Contractor maintained the project bulletin board.

EEO/Labor Compliance Comments:

Environmental Compliance (10% Weighting)

☐ 18. Contractor complied with environmental laws and regulations.

Environmental Compliance Comments:

Administration/Organization/Supervision (10% Weighting)

☐ 19. Contractor resolved delays quickly and efficiently.

☐ 20. Contractor provided adequate supervision of subcontractors.

☐ 21. Contractor and subcontractor made timely payments for material furnished to the project.

☐ 22. Project supervision had a positive impact on the project.

☐ 23. Contractor negotiated Change Orders prior to starting work.

Administration/Organization/Supervision Comments:

Partnering (5% Weighting)

☐ 24. Contractor's organization supported partnering concepts.

☐ 25. Contractor included subcontractors in the partnering process.

☐ 26. Contractor followed the escalation process.

☐ 27. Evaluation of partnering was discussed at regular meetings.

Partnering Comments:

Schedule (15% Weighting)

- 28. Contractor submitted Baseline CPM that clearly represented his approach to the project.**
- 29. Contractor submitted monthly updates to the construction schedule.**
- 30. Contractor used construction schedule as a tool to manage the project.**
- 31. Request for extensions of time backed up by valid documentation.**
- 32. Project schedule discussed during the weekly planning meetings.**

Schedule Comments:

Public Relations (15% Weighting)

- 33. Contractor addressed public relation concerns of the Engineer in a timely manner.**
- 34. Contractor responsive to the concerns of business owners and residents.**
- 35. Contractor responsive to the traveling public.**
- 36. Contractor updated effected businesses and residents of the work schedule as per specification.**
- 37. Compensation was reduced for failure to comply with the Public Information Services Specification.**
- 38. Project was maintained in a neat and orderly manner.**

Public Relations Comments:

COMMENTS:

-- End of Form --

Calculate Performance Rating

Reset All Values in Form

Cancel and Close Form